

Supplementary Committee Agenda



Safer, Cleaner, Greener Scrutiny Standing Panel Tuesday, 11th February, 2014

Place: Committee Room 1, Civic Offices, High Street, Epping

Time: 7.30 pm

Committee Secretary: Adrian Hendry, Office of the Chief Executive
email: democraticservices@eppingforestdc.gov.uk Tel:
01992 564246

5.a Community Safety Partnership Assessment 2014-15 (Pages 3 - 40)

(Director Environment and Street Scene) To review and comment on the Community Safety Partnership Assessment document for 2014/15.

5.b Epping Forest District Council Community Safety Partnership Plan (Pages 41 - 66)

(Director Environment and Street Scene) To review and comment on the Community Safety Partnership Plan.

This page is intentionally left blank



**Epping Forest District Council
Community Safety Partnership
Strategic Intelligence Assessment 2014-15**

Contents

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Section I: Introduction and Summary..... | 1 |
| 1. Introduction..... | 1 |
| 2. All Crime..... | 2 |
| 2.2 Crime in Rural Areas..... | 4 |
| 2.3 Alcohol Related Crime..... | 5 |
| Section II: Priority Crime in Epping Forest | 6 |
| 3. Dwelling Burglary | 6 |
| 3.1 Overview..... | 6 |
| 3.2 Location | 7 |
| 3.3 Victims | 8 |
| 3.4 Offenders..... | 9 |
| 3.5 Summary | 10 |
| 4. Theft From a Motor Vehicle..... | 11 |
| 4.1 Overview..... | 11 |
| 4.2 Location | 12 |
| 4.3 Victims | 13 |
| 4.4 Offenders..... | 14 |
| 4.5 Summary | 15 |
| 5. Assault Serious & Other..... | 16 |
| 5.1 Overview..... | 16 |
| 5.3 Victims | 19 |
| 5.4 Offenders..... | 20 |
| 5.5 Summary | 20 |
| 6. Domestic Violence..... | 21 |
| 6.1 Overview..... | 21 |
| 6.2 Location | 21 |
| 6.3 Victims | 22 |
| 6.4 Offender..... | 23 |
| 6.5 Summary | 23 |
| 7. Anti-social Behaviour (ASB) | 24 |
| 7.1 Overview..... | 24 |
| 7.2 Location | 25 |
| 7.3 ASB linked to Night Time Economy (NTE)..... | 26 |
| 7.4 Summary | 27 |

Section III: Emerging crime in Epping Forest District..... 28
8. Emerging trends 28
 8.1 Courier Crime..... 28
 8.3 Victims 29
Section IV: Offenders in Epping Forest District 30
Youth Offending..... 30
Reoffending 31
Section V: Conclusions..... 32
Recommendations and next steps..... 32
Appendix A: Priority Selection Matrix 34

Contributors & Acknowledgements

Josie Harding - West LPA Partnership Analyst

Paul Gardener – Community Safety Officer, Epping Forest District Council

Valerie Loftis – Media & Public Relations, Epping Forest District Council

Ted Hampson – Kent & Essex Police Principle Analyst

Section I: Introduction and Summary

1. Introduction

The Epping Forest District Safer Communities Partnership Strategic Assessment (SA) 2014-15 is a document that has tried to interpret statistics and provide a signpost for potential areas of prevention, enforcement or further intelligence gathering. This year where intelligence gaps have been identified there are some suggested questions at the conclusion of each section that could be investigated further in a problem profile. There is also a useful summary of key findings at the end of each section bringing together the significant findings. Where data is unavailable this too has been highlighted. The structure has incorporated the problem analysis triangle and tries to highlight some significant facts around:-

- Offenders who commit crime in the district
- Likely victims of crime
- Places where crimes occur

The findings of this strategic assessment should help direct partnership resources into areas where they can achieve the most cost effective and productive results. This is particularly relevant at a time of shrinking resources and budgets.

The future remains very challenging for Community Safety Partnerships (CSPs). All public services are dealing with significant changes to working practices to address shrinking budgets in a time of economic and social need. This strategic assessment sets out to identify where decreasing resources can be used to greatest effect.

A Local Priority Selection Matrix (see Appendix A) was used to formulate the district's priorities based on crime type, volume, seriousness, previous performance, public concern and repeat incidents.

The Epping Forest District Community Safety Partnership Local Priorities for 2014-15 are:

1. Domestic Abuse
2. Theft from Motor Vehicle (TFMV)
3. Anti-social Behaviour
4. Burglary Dwelling (including attempts)
5. Assaults serious injury and other

A clear theme throughout the CSP's Partnership Plan should be towards delivering sustainable improvements in community safety. This will require the actions to tackle the priorities above to be based around:-

- Identifying those agencies and resources that are best placed to respond and deliver improvements to community safety.
- Providing a balanced consideration between enforcement, prevention, risk reduction and reassurance.
- Specifically identify how responses can better control offenders, improve guardianship, and improve the management of places.

There is also an emerging trend of courier crime, which targets the elderly and should be considered in the Partnership Plan when delivering responses. This SA will be updated in a refresh in April 2014 to ensure strategic direction is maintained.

2. All Crime

2.1 Overview

Data in this report spans over a 12 month period, the dates of which are 1st October 2012-30th September 2013 and will be referred to as 'this year'. Comparisons with 'last year' refer to the same dates of the previous year, 1st October 2011-30th September 2012.

There were 7264 criminal offences this year in Epping Forest. This is a reduction of 5% since October 2010, or 394 fewer offences.

On a monthly basis Epping Forest performs within the Essex average.

Epping Forest district ranks 8th of the 14 local authority areas of Essex for Crime per 1,000 residents, where 14th has the highest volume of crime.




Figure 1: All Crime figures over the last 3 years in accordance with the strategic date frame above.

Epping Forest crime figure comparison




Figure 2: Epping Forest crime figures compared to Essex Average and the Most Similar Group (MSG) per 1,000 residents (For a list of Epping's MSG please see appendix).

Previous Priorities

Epping Forest's previous priorities were Anti-social behaviour (ASB), Domestic Abuse, Dwelling Burglary and Theft from a motor vehicle. Since the last strategic assessment;

- ASB has seen a 6% reduction in incidents, however because ASB is a public concern efforts to reduce anti-social behaviour remains a priority.
- Domestic abuse has seen a 5% reduction. Despite this seemingly positive result, Epping Forest encourages victims of domestic abuse to report incidents so victims can be supported and the number of repeat victims reduced. Therefore it remains a


RESTRICTED

priority to continue encouraging victims to come forward and to reduce the number of repeat incidents.

- Theft from a motor vehicle has seen small reductions in recent months; however a year on year comparison shows no change. Therefore This remains a priority until reductions in theft from vehicles stabilises.
- Dwelling Burglary has seen a 17% reduction. Despite significant success in reducing burglary, this has remained a priority to continue reductions and because burglary is an increasing public concern, with 12% more residents this year concerned dwelling burglary is a problem.
- Assault serious and other has become a priority this year because the number of offences in Epping Forest is higher than the Essex average and there has been an increase in the rate of repeat victims.

All Crime Hotspots

Figure 3: Hotspots of crime this year in Epping Forest



Epping Lindsey and Thornwood common has seen a 15% increase in crime, Buckhurst Hill West has seen an 18% increase and Waltham Abbey South West has seen a 6% decrease in crime. Other hotspots include Loughton St. Mary's and Loughton Broadway.

2.2 Crime in Rural Areas

Accordingly to the 2011 census, 87% of Epping Forest is 'green space' land. Therefore the majority of Epping Forest is considered rural. Rural crime has been defined in this instance as crime occurring in rural areas of the district. This has been established by removing urban areas of the district from the analysis. Urban areas are shown in red on the map below.

22% of crime and ASB in the district occurs in rural areas. The population in the rural area of the district is approximately 21,577. The rate of crime per 1,000 residents is high due to the small number of residents. There were 1406 criminal offences, equating to approximately 65 offences per 1,000 residents and 586 reports of ASB, equating to approximately 27 incidents per 1,000 residents.




Figure 4: rural areas of Epping Forest District

| Rural Wards | Population | Crime | Rate per 1,000 residents |
|--|------------|-------|--------------------------|
| Broadley Common, Epping Upland and Nazeing | 1970 | 108 | 54.82 |
| Chigwell Row | 2207 | 98 | 44.40 |
| Hastingwood, Matching and Sheering Village | 2138 | 205 | 95.88 |
| High Ongar, Willingale and The Rodings | 2237 | 105 | 46.94 |
| Lambourne | 2013 | 164 | 81.47 |
| Moreton and Fyfield | 2122 | 98 | 46.18 |
| Passingford | 2256 | 144 | 63.83 |
| Roydon | 2193 | 86 | 39.22 |
| Shelley | 2205 | 125 | 56.69 |
| Waltham Abbey High Beach | 2236 | 273 | 122.09 |
| Total | 21577 | 1406 | 65.16 |

Table 1: Crime rate in rural wards of Epping Forest

Nuisance vehicles are the highest reported ASB in rural areas. 19% of all nuisance vehicle reports in the district occur in rural areas.

Residents of rural areas are most commonly victims of theft.

1/4 of all theft in the district occurs in rural areas.

| Offence | Total |
|--|-------|
| Other Theft | 234 |
| Theft from a Vehicle | 177 |
| Burglary in a dwelling | 134 |
| Burglary in a building other than a dwelling | 99 |
| Assault with Injury | 82 |

Table 2: Top 5 rural crimes in Epping Forest

| Property | Total |
|-------------------|-------|
| Fuel | 113 |
| Tools | 94 |
| Cash | 36 |
| Index Plate | 27 |
| Mobile phone | 17 |
| Metal | 16 |
| Copper cable/Pipe | 13 |
| Farming Equipment | 12 |
| Oil | 5 |
| Batteries | 5 |

The most popular property stolen in rural crime is shown in the table to the left. Fuel is stolen, most commonly, via making off without payment offences, however, some cases report fuel being siphoned from vehicles. Tools are also commonly stolen, usually from vehicles. The most commonly stolen tools were power tools stolen from vans.

Oil was also stolen on 5 occasions by forcing open oil drums. 2 drums were located on farming land and the rest were domestic heating oil stolen from outside properties.

On 4 occasions batteries were stolen from temporary traffic lights. An isolated incident saw battery theft from an electric fence.

Table 3: property stolen in rural crime

2.3 Alcohol Related Crime

Local Alcohol Profiles for England (LAPE)

According to the LAPE the majority of people in Epping Forest are low risk drinkers. This figure is a synthetic estimate of the percentage within the population. Low risk drinkers are defined as those consuming less than 22 units for males and 15 units for females. Increasing risk is defined as consumption between 22 and 50 units of alcohol per week for males and between 15 and 35 units for a female. High risk is defined as consumption of more than 50 units for males and 35 units for females.

A very small percentage of the population are high risk drinkers. Approximately 5,000-6,000 people in the district.

Figures of alcohol-related violent crimes are significantly lower in Epping Forest than the England average. Alcohol-specific hospital admission figures are lower in Epping Forest than the England average for females and under 18s and significantly lower for males.

Epping Forest has a significantly higher number of employees working in bars than the England average and the regional average. This means a large proportion of jobs in Epping Forest are in bars.




Figure 5: Drinkers in Epping Forest

Section II: Priority Crime in Epping Forest


A local priority selection matrix was used to select the priority crime for the year 2014-2015 (see appendix). This matrix considers several factors such as the cost of crime, public concern, repeat victimisation, direction of travel and volume. The matrix has identified the following 5 crimes as a priority this year for Epping Forest;

3. Dwelling Burglary

3.1 Overview

There were 751 dwelling burglaries this year. This is a 17% reduction on last year's figures, or 155 fewer offences. Dwelling burglary suffers an autumn peak between October and March when the evenings get darker and attract opportunist burglars. 58% of all burglary in Epping Forest occurs between October and March. The autumnal Burglary trend has, however, decreased by 15%, 76 less burglaries in this period compared to last year.

During the autumn/ winter period there were approximately 72 burglaries per month, whereas during the spring/summer period there were approximately 53 burglaries per month. Overall since last year there has been a decrease of approximately 13 burglaries per calendar month on average.



| Dwelling Burglary | Oct 11- Sep 12 | Oct 12- Sep 13 | # change | % change |
|-------------------|----------------|----------------|----------|----------|
| Attempted | 156 | 133 | -23 | -15 |
| Confirmed | 741 | 614 | -127 | -17 |
| Distraction | 9 | 4 | -5 | -56 |

Figure 6: Dwelling Burglary by month in Epping Forest

The number of burglaries resulting in vehicle theft (millennium offences) is stable and occurs in small numbers. There has been a 13% reduction, 10 fewer offences this year of theft of vehicles from homes. Previously crime pattern analysis work has shown when vehicle theft via dwelling burglary increases, vehicle theft from garages decreases and vice versa.

3.2 Location

The main burglary hotspot is **Loughton Fairmead** with 6% of all domestic burglary this year. 74% of all burglary in this location occurred during the autumnal period. Other hotspots this year were Limes Avenue in **Grange Hill** and the roads surrounding Palmerston Road in **Buckhurst Hill West**. Like Loughton Fairmead, the majority of burglaries in these areas also occurred during the autumn/winter period.




Figure 7: Dwelling burglary hotspot

The Table below identifies the number of burglaries according to season. **Theydon Bois**, despite experiencing burglaries in smaller numbers, experienced almost all burglaries during the autumnal period, as did **Loughton Roding**. This indicates the majority of burglaries in the district occur in 6 months of the year when the evenings are dark. Therefore by tackling burglaries between October and March, 58% of all burglary would be targeted.

| Ward | Autumn/ Winter | Spring/Summer | Total |
|-----------------------------------|----------------|---------------|-------|
| | Oct 12-Mar 13 | Apr 13-Sep 13 | |
| Loughton Fairmead | 35 | 12 | 47 |
| Buckhurst Hill West | 27 | 19 | 46 |
| Grange Hill | 27 | 10 | 37 |
| Loughton Alderton | 23 | 14 | 37 |
| Chigwell Village | 17 | 20 | 37 |
| Epping Lindsey & Thornwood Common | 22 | 14 | 36 |
| Buckhurst Hill | 21 | 13 | 34 |
| Loughton Roding | 24 | 9 | 33 |
| Theydon Bois | 23 | 8 | 31 |
| Loughton St Marys | 20 | 10 | 30 |

Table 4: Top Ten wards for dwelling burglary

3.3 Victims

There were 767 victims of dwelling burglary this year in the district. There is often an even split across genders when it comes to dwelling burglary because burglary does not generally target victims specifically but rather properties. Therefore males and females are equally likely to fall victim to dwelling burglary.




Figure 8: Victims of dwelling burglary

The majority of victims are between 41 and 50 years.




Figure 9: Loughton Burglary and council owned property

The map to the left shows dwelling burglary in the main hotspot, Loughton Fairmead, with council owned property marked in red. It is clear from the map that the majority of burglaries are in areas densely populated with council owned property. However the actual burglaries themselves tend to target privately owned properties. This correlation is seen throughout the entire district.

Vulnerable Property

The most commonly stolen property was jewellery, specifically watches and rings. This is closely followed by cash and computer equipment such as laptops and tablets.

The majority of burglaries reported messy searches, entry often from the rear or side of the property. Semi-detached properties and end terrace houses on corners with pathways between properties provides easy access for burglars.

RESTRICTED

There were 14 repeat victims of dwelling burglary in Epping Forest over the last 6 months (7%). Essex County has a 7% repeat rate for the financial year 2012/13. Of the 249 who received a partnership visit after the first offence, there were only 8 repeat victims.

This figure equates to a repeat rate of 3% which means if every victim had received a visit in the last 6 months there could have been a reduction of 8 repeat victims.




Figure 10: Evaluation of partnership visit for dwelling burglary

3.4 Offenders

The number of offenders is much smaller than the number of offences because a small number of offenders often commit a large number of offences. It is also important to note that only offenders known to the police can be included in this graph.

The majority of offenders are male between the ages of 16 and 20. Very few known offenders are female.




Figure 11: Offenders of dwelling burglary

RESTRICTED

There is a 13% average detection rate in Epping Forest and Brentwood. This means only a small percentage of all offenders are actually known. Of this small percentage, the majority (42%) of burglars live in London close to the borders of Epping Forest. Areas such as Romford, Barking and Dagenham and Enfield. A further 32% live in surrounding districts of Essex, specifically the bordering areas of Harlow and Brentwood. Only 24% of burglars actually live in Epping Forest.



Figure 12: Location of offenders of dwelling burglary in Epping Forest

22 offenders were charged for burglary.

3.5 Summary

- **Burglary has reduced by 17% since last year**
- **58% of all burglaries occurred in the autumn/winter peak (however, this peak has reduced by 15% since last year)**
- **Loughton Fairmead and Buckhurst hill west saw the majority of burglary. Almost all burglaries in Theydon Bois took place in the autumn/ winter peak.**
- **Offenders were usually male between 16 and 20 years, 42% of which are from London.**
- **Victims were between 41 and 50 years**
- **Partnership visits can reduce repeat victimisation by just over half.**

Intelligence gaps and problem profile questions;

1. Is it possible to identify repeat offenders and their locations?
2. Could predictive mapping predict future burglary locations?
3. Is it possible to identify escape routes for burglars, e.g. alley ways, footpaths, vehicles?
4. Can a map of repeat victim's identify near repeat burglaries?

4. Theft From a Motor Vehicle

4.1 Overview

There were 1072 vehicle crime offences this year. This is 1 less than last year, a 0% change. This figure equates to approximately 89 offences per calendar month.




Figure 13: Theft from a vehicle by month in Epping Forest

Epping Forest see the most theft from vehicle offences per 1,000 residents than any other district in Essex and 4 more offences per 1,000 residents than the Essex average.

48% of theft targeted vehicles on the road, either near or outside properties. A further 27% of vehicles were in car parks.

| Location Type | Total |
|------------------|-------|
| Road | 517 |
| Car Park | 287 |
| Driveway | 204 |
| Rural | 20 |
| Building Site | 18 |
| Sports Grounds | 15 |
| Playground/ Park | 5 |
| School | 3 |
| Other | 2 |
| Garage | 1 |

Table 5: Theft from vehicle location type

4.2 Location

9% of all theft from vehicles occurred in Honey Lane, Waltham Abbey.

| Ward | Total |
|---------------------------|-------|
| Waltham Abbey Honey Lane | 100 |
| Loughton St Marys | 72 |
| Chigwell Village | 67 |
| Buckhurst Hill West | 59 |
| Loughton Broadway | 51 |
| Waltham Abbey South West | 46 |
| Waltham Abbey Paternoster | 44 |
| Waltham Abbey High Beach | 44 |
| Loughton Forest | 44 |
| Grange Hill | 43 |

Table 6: Theft from a vehicle hotspot wards

The majority of thefts in **Waltham Abbey** were on the roadside (45) or in car parks (30). Some locations contributing to the high number of thefts from vehicles in this area were; The Premier Inn, Sewardstone Road (15), The Marriot Hotel, Old Shire Lane (11) and the car park near Caneland court (9).




Figure 14: Theft from motor vehicle hotspot: Waltham Abbey Honey Lane

Loughton St. Marys is the second largest hotspot in the district. The majority of offences in this location were on the road (32) or a driveway (22).

Other specific locations in the district that have seen a high number of offences are; The Football academy Sports Pavilion, Langston Road, **Loughton Broadway** (11), Hoe lane, **Abridge** (11) and Premier Inn, **Buckhurst Hill** (7).

4.3 Victims

There were 1100 victims of theft from a vehicle, including witnesses. The number of victims is usually larger than the number of offences because there is, on occasion, more than one victim to one offence.

Please note the graph below is representative of all victims for which age and gender have both been specified. Some victims have been excluded due to a lack of this information.

69% of all victims were male; the peak age group of a male victim is 41-45 years whereas the peak age group for a female victim is 31-35 years.




Figure 15: Victims of Theft from a motor vehicle




Figure 16: vehicle types affected by theft from a vehicle

The majority of types of vehicles subject to theft were cars, followed by vans. Ford, Vauxhall and Mercedes were the three most commonly affected vehicle makes.

- The majority of Ford vehicles were a mixture of vans such as Transit vans and cars such as Fiestas and Ford Focus.
- The majority of Vauxhalls were cars such as Astras and Corsas
- The majority of Mercedes were vans such as Sprinter and Vito vans.

Vulnerable Property

Tools: 673 thefts reported tools stolen. The most commonly stolen items from vehicles were tools/equipment. Usually stolen from vans, the types of tools stolen are commonly building tools and equipment or high value power tools. Popular brand names of tools stolen are Makita, Bosch and Dewalt.

Index Plates: There were 194 index plate thefts this year; this is slowly decreasing since last year. Very few index plates are recovered which means it is unclear where stolen index plates go and for what purpose. It is likely they are used to commit further crime such as fuel theft; however there is little evidence to support this.

Cash: 137 thefts from vehicles reported stolen cash. In 6 cases cash was taken from the glove box, in a further 8 cases handbags or purses were in clear view either in the foot well, back seat or passenger seat. 4 offences reported handbags stolen from the boot. 6 vehicles that had cash stolen from the glove box were left insecure.

Catalytic Converters: 48 Catalytic converter thefts were reported, specifically targeting Mercedes Sprinter Vans and Ford Galaxys. This is likely to be because catalytic converters are easy to access in these particular vehicles.

4.4 Offenders

The number of offenders is much smaller than the number of offences because a small number of offenders often commit a large number of offences. It is also important to note that only offenders known to the police can be included in this graph.




Figure 17: Offenders of theft from a vehicle

RESTRICTED

The majority of identified offenders are from either London (such as Ilford and Dagenham) or Epping Forest. Very few offenders have been identified as living in bordering districts of Essex.

24 Offenders were charged.




Figure 18: Offender locations for theft from a vehicle in Epping Forest

4.5 Summary

- Theft from motor vehicle has remained stable
- 48% occurred on the roadside, largely outside residential properties and 27% in car parks
- Waltham Abbey Honey Lane saw 9% of all thefts
- 69% of offenders were male 16-20 years
- 57% were cars and 34% vans
- The majority of items stolen were power tools
- The majority of offenders were from London, males between 16 and 20 years.

Intelligence gaps and problem profile questions;

1. Is it possible to quantify the amount of vehicles left insecure?
2. Is it possible to identify the amount of offenders who gained entry with the keys?
3. Could some analysis be carried out identifying whether items were on show or hidden?
4. Can a snapshot of specific MOs be provided to identify methods of entry?

5. Assault Serious & Other

5.1 Overview

There were 538 assaults, serious & other, this year in Epping Forest. This is a 1% reduction on last year's figures, or 6 fewer offences. There appear to be peaks in August and September, correlating with the weather. A higher number of reports of violent crime are seen in the hotter months of the year. This is likely to be because people spend more time socialising in the summer months than in the winter, which is also likely to correlate with alcohol consumption.




Figure 19: Assault serious & other by month in Epping Forest

Epping Forest sees approximately 4 serious assaults per 1,000 residents in the district and is ranked 7th of the 14 areas in Essex, where 14th sees the most offences per 1,000 residents. This is below the Essex average of 5 per 1,000 residents.

Of the 538 offences, 200 were linked to domestic abuse (37%) and 126 offences were linked to NTE (23%). The main hotspots for NTE assault are Loughton High Road and Epping High Street. Just over half of all assaults took place in residential areas, however not necessarily in a property.

| Location Type | Total |
|---|-------|
| Residential | 248 |
| Road | 192 |
| Licensed Premises e.g. Clubs, Bars, Restaurants | 44 |
| Shop | 16 |
| Car park | 12 |
| School | 12 |
| Petrol filling station | 6 |
| Other | 8 |

Figure 20: Assault location types

5.2 Location

| Ward | Total |
|-----------------------------------|-------|
| Epping Lindsey & Thornwood Common | 53 |
| Waltham Abbey South West | 51 |
| Loughton Forest | 47 |
| Loughton St Marys | 34 |
| Loughton Broadway | 33 |
| Waltham Abbey Honey Lane | 29 |
| Grange Hill | 23 |
| Buckhurst Hill West | 22 |
| Loughton Alderton | 20 |
| Loughton Fairmead | 18 |

Half of all offences took place in residential areas which means that there are no hotspot locations for these offences. They tend to be widespread throughout the district. Some trends regarding residential hotspots are shown in the map below.

Table 7: Top 10 wards for Assault serious and other

The hotspot locations in residential areas either potentially reflect the fallout from NTE or are in areas that suffer a large number of nuisance neighbours and other ASB complaints or domestic violence.




Figure 21: Assaults in residential areas

RESTRICTED

The hotspots linked to NTE are shown below. These locations often see a number of assaults due to alcohol and other substance misuse associated with NTE. The map below shows the three main high streets linked to assault.




Figure 22: Assault Serious & Other locations

Therefore the main hotspots for assault serious and other are residential areas close to high streets or areas with a high volume of households often suffering with a large number of ASB complaints or domestic violence. The majority of offences are committed during the day, more commonly at weekends between 15:00 and 20:00. This reflects domestic violence, neighbourhood disputes, some attempted robbery offences and road rage, amongst others.

Although a proportion of assaults are linked to NTE, and some domestic assaults took place in high streets and on roads, the proportion of assaults linked to NTE is reasonably low. The majority occurred in residential areas rather than licensed premises or high streets. However what is still unclear is whether those assaults occurred in residential areas between people who had recently been out to a licensed premise or who was under the influence of alcohol. This would indicate a link between NTE and domestic violence.

5.3 Victims

545 victims and 151 witnesses have been recorded for the 538 assaults in the district this year. The number of victims is larger than the number of offences because in some cases there was more than one victim to one assault. There is not information of the victim's age in every case and therefore the graph below is only representative of those victims with age information.

54% of men were victims of assault, the peak age range being 16-20 years. The peak in female victims is commonly between the ages of 21 and 25 years.

There is a 15% repeat victimisation rate for assault in Epping Forest. 8% of victims were described as having severe injuries, the majority of which were male.

Female victims were often assaulted as a result of domestic violence whereas males were often assaulted as a result of NTE, usually in Loughton High Rd or Epping High St or as a result of alcohol in residential areas.




Figure 23: Demographics of victims of assault

Please note, despite domestic violence contributing to 37% of assault, domestic violence is covered in more detail in this report as a separate priority crime. Therefore focus will be given to assaults linked to NTE and assaults not of a domestic nature.

5.4 Offenders

125 offenders were charged and 24 were cautioned. 39% of known offenders were intoxicated with alcohol, drugs or both. It is possible that this percentage is in fact higher; however, unfortunately not all cases of alcohol related crime are marked as such.




Figure 24: identified offenders of assault serious and other in Epping Forest

The majority of offenders are male between the ages of 21 and 25 years.

The majority of offenders of assault live in the district, specifically Loughton and Waltham Abbey. Offenders do travel from London and surrounding areas of Essex, such as Brentwood, however, in very small numbers.

5.5 Summary

- There has been a 1% reduction on last year's figures
- 37% domestic violence related and 23% NTE related.
- Loughton High Road and Epping High Street are the NTE hotspots and Grange Hill and Honey Lane are hotspots in the day.
- Females were more commonly victim to domestic assault whereas males were more commonly victims of NTE assault
- There is some overlap between domestic assault and NTE, where in some cases a domestic assault takes place after or during a night out in a pub or club on the high street.

- Intelligence gaps & problem profile questions;
1. Can repeat victims and offenders be quantified and identified?
 2. Is it possible to establish how many victims were hospitalised and types of injuries?
 3. What is the overlap between DV and NTE? A deeper understanding is needed.

6. Domestic Violence

6.1 Overview

There were 1143 incidents of domestic abuse this year. This is a 5% decrease on last year's figures, or 66 fewer incidents. Peaks in domestic violence are seen during the summer months and school holidays. This correlates with similar findings in assault serious and other. There are also peaks seen during domestic abuse week and other campaigns designed to raise awareness and encourage reporting. Domestic abuse week usually commences late October to early November.




Figure 25: domestic violence in Epping Forest

Domestic abuse teams work actively to encourage victims to report incidents of domestic abuse. Therefore it is encouraging to only see minor reductions in domestic violence.

Domestic violence is not a criminal offence. However it can result in a criminal offence in some instances. The most common criminal offences resulting from domestic violence are assault, harassment and criminal damage to a dwelling. This year there has been a slight increase in harassment offences resulting from domestic violence (17 offences).

6.2 Location

The majority of domestic violence is spread widely across the district. This is because a large proportion of domestic violence occurs in the home and therefore hotspots are only indicative of where residents live. This means very little pattern can be established with regards to location. However there are some aspects of domestic abuse that are a result of NTE and these incidents usually take place near high streets such as Epping High Street. 18% of all domestic violence occurred outside of the home either on the road, in a night club, restaurant and so on. The majority, however, took place in the home.

Epping Lindsey and Thornwood Common and **Grange Hill** see the largest proportion of domestic violence in the district. This has been the case for the last 2 years. Epping sees the highest proportion of NTE domestic violence whereas Grange Hill sees the highest proportion of domestic violence in the home.

6.3 Victims

Victims of domestic abuse are covered in more detailed in assault serious and other. The Epping Forest Community Safety Team and Essex Police work in partnership visiting high risk victims of domestic violence. Preliminary research evaluating the success of these visits has been very positive.

There were 132 repeat victims of domestic violence over the last 6 months (23%).

Of the 62 who received partnership visits after the first offence, there were only 9 repeat victims recorded.

This figure equates to a 15% repeat rate. Therefore the rate of repeat victims after their first partnership visit has dropped by 30%.




Figure 26: Evaluation of partnership visits to domestic violence victims- Apr 13-Sep 13

In theory this means if all domestic violence victims received a visit from the partnership there could have been a reduction of approximately 46 repeat victims in the last 6 months (based on the current figures).

630 victims were identified. 72% of victims were female. The most common age for a victim was between 21 and 25 years.




Figure 27: Victims of domestic violence

78% of domestic violence is violent crime for example assault with or without injury. 7% of domestic violence is criminal damage and 2% are sexual offences such as sexual assault.

There were also 421 witnesses of domestic violence. 65% of which were under 10 years of age. Comparatively, domestic violence in Epping Forest has the highest proportion of witnesses under the age of 10 years than any other priority crime in the district.

6.4 Offender

83% of all offenders were male. The majority were white. 21% of offenders were marked as being intoxicated either with alcohol, drugs or both. This figure may be higher, however this data is limited to the police systems and it is possible that not all cases involving alcohol have been marked as such.




Figure 28: Offenders of domestic violence

6.5 Summary

- Domestic violence has decreased by 5% this year
- The percentage of domestic harassment has increased, potentially with the increase in social networking which makes harassment easier for perpetrators.
- 18% of domestic violence occurred outside of the home and may have some links to NTE
- 72% of victims were female and 65% of all witnesses are under the age of 10
- 83% of offenders were male
- 203 victims were ex-partners to the offender and 158 were still in relationships

Intelligence gaps and problem profile questions;

1. Is it possible to establish a pattern between the number of non-crime incidents reported prior to a criminal offence linked to domestic abuse, is there a gradual process?
2. Are there any patterns in MO of offenders/the role of social networking in cyber harassment?
3. Is it possible to quantify how many incidents were influenced by alcohol/ drugs etc.?
4. Is there a link between NTE and domestic abuse?

7. Anti-social Behaviour (ASB)

7.1 Overview

There were 3639 incidents of ASB this year; this is a 6% reduction on last year's figures, or 244 fewer incidents. The main peaks in ASB correlate with the summer months. This is likely to be linked to increased socialising, an increased number of people out during the warmer months and a larger volume of youths on the streets in the summer holidays.




Figure 29: Anti-Social Behaviour by month in Epping Forest

20% of all ASB this year was Nuisance youth related and a further 19% were disturbances.

| ASB TYPE | Total | ASB TYPE | Total |
|-------------------------|-------|--------------------|-------------|
| Nuisance Youth | 733 | Driving Complaint | 15 |
| Disturbance | 706 | Trespass | 11 |
| Nuisance Other | 446 | Air Weapon | 9 |
| Nuisance vehicle | 421 | Highway Disruption | 5 |
| Nuisance neighbour | 351 | Aircraft | 3 |
| Abandoned Vehicle | 283 | Road Related | 2 |
| Nuisance communications | 250 | Air Gen | 1 |
| Nuisance Noise | 213 | Concern | 1 |
| Threats | 108 | Laserlight | 1 |
| Fireworks | 43 | RTC causing ASB | 1 |
| Obstruction | 36 | Total | 3639 |

Table 8: ASB types in Epping Forest


- There were 1615 reports of fly tipping to the council, the majority of which occurred in **Waltham Abbey**, specifically **Springfields** and **Harveyfields**.
- There have only been 22 reports of graffiti to the council this year. The majority of graffiti was in **Loughton Fairmead** and the majority was offensive graffiti.
- There were 1419 reports of noise to the council; **Loughton High Road** saw the majority of reports. Loughton has also seen the majority of neighbourhood disputes in the district.

7.2 Location

The table below indicates the top 5 wards of ASB in the district. Loughton Broadway sees the highest number of ASB incidents, however, Epping High Street was the hotspot location for night time economy (NTE) related ASB. This is largely due to the licensed premises along the high street. The second largest hotspot for NTE linked ASB is Loughton High Road.

| Ward | Total |
|----------------------------|-------|
| Loughton Broadway | 252 |
| Epping Lindsey & Thornwood | 230 |
| Loughton St Mary's | 215 |
| Waltham Abbey South West | 212 |
| Grange Hill | 172 |

Table 9: Top 5 wards for ASB in Epping Forest



A large number of ASB reports in the district are in the **Broadway** and **Langston road**. The majority of reports in Langston road relate to nuisance vehicles whereas the majority of reports in the Broadway are disturbances. The Broadway also sees the highest number of **nuisance youths** in the district, 75 incidents.

The three main locations reported in ASB reports have been marked on the map (left). Just under 20% of all ASB in the Broadway is linked to NTE (between 2100 and 0400).

This area was also a hotspot for deliberate secondary fires (5). **Loughton Fairmead** was the main hotspot for deliberate secondary fires.

Figure 30: Loughton Broadway Hotspot Locations






Figure 31: Types of ASB in the district


7.3 ASB linked to Night Time Economy (NTE)

Night time economy (NTE) in this instance is inclusive of all ASB between 21:00 and 04:00 Friday to Sunday. 17% of all ASB is linked to NTE. The main hotspots are areas with good transport links and a number of licensed premises such as bars and clubs. This being the case, Epping High Street and Loughton High Road are the main hotspots.


Loughton High Road



Loughton High Rd
 A: Nu Bar B: Luxe Bar C: Holly Bush
 D: The Last Post



Epping High St
 A: Speakeasy B: 195 C: Villa



Waltham Abbey South West

- A: Abbey off License
- B: The Crown
- C: The Spotted Cow
- D: The Compasses
- E: The Queen's Arms
- F: Welsh Harp

Figure 32: NTE ASB hotspots

RESTRICTED

The table below shows the licensed premises linked to ASB in the district. A large number of incidents may be linked to premises but occur down the street away from the location, for example a fight in Club 195 may continue along Epping High Street and is stopped by police at the bus stop. In this instance the location will be the bus stop. With this in mind it is important to note when looking at the table this may not be representative of all ASB linked to licensed premises.

| Licensed Premise | Location | # reports |
|----------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------|
| Club 195/ Villa | Rear of 195 High St, Epping | 20 |
| Top golf | Chigwell | 14 |
| Waitrose | 27 Queens Rd, Buckhurst Hill | 10 |
| Tesco | Sewardstone Rd, Waltham Abbey | 10 |
| Luxe Bar | 126 High Rd, Loughton | 10 |
| Nu Bar | High St, Loughton | 10 |
| The Three Jolly Wheelers | Chigwell Rd, Woodford Green | 9 |
| The Green Dragon | 21 Market SQ, Waltham Abbey | 8 |
| Sir Winston Churchill | The Broadway, Loughton | 8 |
| Speak Easy | High Rd, Epping | 7 |
| Kings Oak Hotel | High Beech, Loughton | 10 |
| The Sun Inn | 21 Sun St, Waltham Abbey | 5 |
| Job Centre | 284 High St, Loughton | 5 |
| Rendezvous Fish Restaurant | 28 The Broadway, Loughton | 4 |

7.4 Summary

- There has been a 6% reduction in ASB since last year
- 20% of all ASB is nuisance youths and a further 19% is disturbances
- Loughton Broadway sees the highest number of ASB incidents; however Epping High Street and Loughton High Road see the highest number of ASB incidents linked to NTE.
- Premises with a high number of reports are Club 195 and Villa with incidents usually occurring in Cottis Lane.

Intelligence gaps and problem profile questions;

1. Is it possible to create a snapshot of repeat locations and repeat victims of ASB?
2. Could Local authority data be provided and presented alongside police ASB data to help understand all ASB issues? Are specific ASB issues only being reported to the council and not the police?
3. Are there any areas that CCTV does not cover which sees a large volume of ASB?
4. Is it possible to provide a snapshot of ASB incidents influence by alcohol, drugs or both?

Section III: Emerging crime in Epping Forest District

8. Emerging trends

8.1 Courier Crime

Op Seaford is a police operation designed to tackle courier crime whereby the perpetrator collects pin numbers, cards and other bank details from the victim which are then used to fraudulently withdraw money. The majority of offences involve the perpetrator purporting to be a police officer and informing the victim there has been some fraudulent activity on their bank cards. They organise for a courier to collect the cards in a sealed envelope with the pin numbers attached. This is disguised with some security advice on how to keep bank details safe. The names PC Morris and PC Clark from Holborn or Hammersmith are consistently used.

In other cases the perpetrators have confiscated money on the basis it is fraudulent or taken bank details over the phone purporting as a police officer, all of which come under the Op Seaford responsibility.




Figure 33: Courier crime in Epping Forest

There were 119 Op Seaford offences, starting in March and peaking in June. The dotted line on the graph below shows the trend line. This line indicates the overall direction of travel of courier crime. In this case it is clear this crime is increasing and becoming more prevalent in the last few months.

According to Trading Standards records there were 21 rogue trader incidents last year and 20 this year targeting the elderly. A direct quote from the trading standards analyst states 'This is not the extent of the problem'. Meaning several incidents go unreported or are incorrectly recorded as ASB and so on. This could be an indicator crime against elderly people is on the increase.

8.2 Location

The hotspot locations for courier crime were **Theydon Bois** and **Epping Hemnall**. These locations have a much larger population of over 65s than other areas of the district. In

Theydon Bois and Epping Hemnall 25% of the population are over the age of 65. Compared to the district average, which is just over 21%, hotspot areas have a significantly higher proportion of elderly residents.




Figure 34: Courier crime locations

8.3 Victims

72% of all victims were over the age of 65 and two thirds were female. The peak age group of victims was between 76 and 85 years. Almost all victims were of white ethnicity.




Figure 35: victims of courier crime in Epping Forest

Offenders

4 offenders were identified in connection with courier crime. All individuals were males, 3 were in their late teens to early 20s and one in his early 40s. 2 perpetrators were white English, 1 was Asian and 1 Italian.

Section IV: Offenders in Epping Forest District

Youth Offending

The term 'young offender' refers to anyone known to the police committing crime in Epping Forest between the ages of 10 and 17. The following graph identifies the young offenders in Epping Forest by age.

124 young offenders were identified, 74% of which were male.




Figure 36: Age and gender of young offenders in Epping Forest provided by the Performance Information Unit of Essex Police between April 2012 and March 2013

151 offences were committed by young offenders between 01/04/12 and 31/03/13. The majority of offences committed by young people in Epping Forest were violent offences and shoplifting. There is also evidence of some drug offences being committed by young offenders.

| Offence | Total |
|---|-------|
| Assault with injury | 27 |
| Assault without injury | 25 |
| Possession of Controlled Drugs (Cannabis) | 17 |
| Shoplifting | 12 |
| Public fear, alarm or distress | 11 |

Table 10: Top 5 crimes committed by young offenders in Epping Forest

109 offenders only committed 1 offence in this 12 month period and a further 18 were repeat young offenders. This means there is a 15% repeat rate of young offenders. This very small figure suggests intervention after the first offence keeps the number of repeat offenders low.

Reoffending

Integrated Offender Management (IOM) produces a cohort of prolific offenders colour coded based on their level of co-operation. Red offenders are not complaint with the IOM team, amber offenders could be compliant at times and not compliant at others, green offenders are compliant with the IOM team and blue offenders are in prison, recalled or suspended from the scheme.

The number of offenders in the IOM scheme in Epping Forest has decreased slightly since April 2012. There are currently 30 offenders in Epping Forest and Brentwood in the IOM cohort. 12 are red, not compliant, 6 are amber, 6 are green and 6 are blue.

There has been a slight increase in the rate of reoffending within the IOM cohort. Currently there is a 17% reoffending rate for offenders in IOM.




Figure 37: IOM offender number and reoffending rate

Section V: Conclusions

Recommendations and next steps

The analysis in this report indicates areas of crime that require focus and helps the community safety partnership to direct crime prevention more effectively to the most vulnerable victims and locations in the district.

Based on the analysis in this report, the following recommendations may help to target and reduce priority crime in Epping Forest;

Dwelling Burglary

| |
|--|
| Crime prevention advice around home security, focused in Loughton Fairmead and Buckhurst Hill west. A large number of burglaries are also evident in Limes Farm, Grange Hill and therefore this location may also benefit from advice. |
|--|

| |
|---|
| Initiatives such as Home Bright, which provides segment timers and crime prevention advice around burglary in the autumn/winter peak, are also most effectively placed in Loughton Fairmead and Buckhurst Hill. |
|---|

| |
|---|
| Specific advice around protection of valuable property in the home. |
|---|

| |
|--|
| Crime prevention advice around rogue traders, courier crime and distraction burglary would be most effectively targeted in Epping Hemnall and Theydon Bois where 25% of the population are over 65. The most effective way to do this could be via churches and Active Crew. |
|--|

Vehicle Crime

| |
|--|
| Crime prevention advice around tool security in vans |
|--|

| |
|---|
| Vehicle crime prevention is most effectively placed in Roundhills, Waltham Abbey Honey Lane and Loughton St. Marys. |
|---|

| |
|--|
| A reminder may be required about keeping vehicles secure, particularly during the winter months when residents often leave their vehicles running on driveways to clear ice from the windscreen. Approximately 9% of all vehicle crime last year involved an insecure vehicle. |
|--|

| |
|---|
| Reminders about not leaving valuables on show in vehicles |
|---|

RESTRICTED

ASB

Focus on nuisance youth behaviour and support to repeat victims in Loughton Broadway.

Attention to nuisance vehicle ASB in Waltham Abbey

A focus may be needed in Limes Farm, Grange Hill regarding neighbourhood disputes. Mediation and support for repeat victims are services which may be useful in this location. ASB in Limes Farm does appear to escalate to intimidating behaviour at times such as dangerous driving and threats.

Springfields and Harveyfields have seen the majority of fly tipping reported to the council this year. A CCTV presence may be required in these locations.

Domestic Violence

The community safety partnership's success in reducing repeat victims suggests partnership visits designed to safeguard victims may be working effectively. Areas which may benefit the most from these visits are Epping Lindsey and Thornwood Common and Grange Hill.

A focus is needed on the role night time economy plays in domestic violence. A further crime pattern analysis will hopefully provide more understanding and inform future initiatives.

Further analysis into the correlations between social demographics and domestic violence is needed to help identify trends in the locations of domestic violence.

Assault Serious & Other

Violence during the day is most prominent in Grange Hill and Waltham Abbey Honey Lane. This manifests itself as ASB and then escalates, on occasion, to threatening behaviour, weapons, dangerous dogs and assault.

Monitoring neighbourhood disputes and tension in Waltham Abbey Honey Lane and Limes Farm, Grange Hill on a regular basis will help to establish whether tensions are building. This will indicate when violence is increasing in the area. This can be done by assessing the number of repeat ASB victims

This monitoring should also be applied to other hotspot areas such as Loughton Fairmead and Loughton Broadway. This will help to establish if a similar pattern of tension is building which would give the community safety partnership an indication violence could increase in these locations too.

Appendix A: Local Priority Selection Matrix

| Crime Type | Number | Volume | Seriousness | Predicted Direction of Travel | Performance | Public Concern | Repeat Victimization | Total Score | Rank |
|--|--------|--------|-------------|-------------------------------|-------------|----------------|----------------------|-------------|------|
| Anti-social Behaviour (LA) | | | | | | | | 0 | 9 |
| Anti-social Behaviour (Police) | 3639 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 15 | 3 |
| Assault serious and other | 538 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 3 | | 3 | 15 | 2 |
| Assault without injury | 440 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | | 2 | 13 | 5 |
| Burglary Dwelling (incl attempts) | 751 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 15 | 2 |
| Burglary Other (incl attempts) | 417 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 14 | 4 |
| Criminal Damage | 838 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 13 | 5 |
| Domestic Abuse | 1143 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 21 | 1 |
| Drug Offences | 263 | 2 | | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 9 | 8 |
| Other Theft | 973 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 4 | | 3 | 13 | 5 |
| Public Order Offences | 207 | 2 | | 2 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 13 | 5 |
| Racially/ religiously aggravated crime | 64 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 11 | 6 |
| Robbery | 92 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 13 | 5 |
| Sexual Offences | 93 | 1 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 14 | 4 |
| Shoplifting | 321 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | | 4 | 10 | 7 |
| TFMV | 1072 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 16 | 2 |
| TOMV | 358 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 14 | 4 |
| Vehicle Interference | 120 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 4 | | 1 | 13 | 5 |

| <u>Volume</u> | <u>Predicted DOT (iQuanta Projections)</u> | <u>Performance (iQuanta Comparison)</u> | <u>Repeat Victimization (%)</u> | <u>Seriousness</u> |
|---|---|---|---|--|
| 4= 1000+ offences 3= 500-999 offences 2= 101-499 offences 1= 1-100 offences 0= 0 offences | 4= Long term upwards trend, recent up 3= long term down, recent up 2= long term up, recent stable 1= long term up, recent down 0= Long term down, recent down | 4= Top 3= Above Upper Bound 2= Average 1= Below Lower Bound 0= Bottom | 4= 30%+ 3= 20-30% 2= 10-20% 1= 01-10% 0= 0% | 4= £1,000,000+ 3= £100,000 - £999,999 2= £50,000 - £99,999 1= £1,000 - £49,999 0= Less than £1,000 |

This page is intentionally left blank



Epping Forest District Community Safety

Partnership Plan



April 2014

Contents

| | |
|---|-----------|
| Vision | 3 |
| Introduction | 4 |
| The 2010-31 One Epping Forest Community Strategy | 5 |
| Police and Crime Plan..... | 6 |
| PCC Focus Areas | 6 |
| Public Health..... | 7 |
| Strategic Priorities | 8 |
| Strategic Assessment (SA) 2014-15 | 9 |
| Local Priorities 2014-15 | 10 |
| Emerging Trends..... | 10 |
| Performance against our local priorities 2013-14..... | 11 |
| Initiative: Crucial Crew | 13 |
| Initiative: Reality Roadshow | 13 |
| Initiative: Integrated Offender Management (IOM)..... | 14 |
| Initiative: Sanctuary Scheme..... | 14 |
| Initiative: Partnership Visits | 15 |
| Initiative: Public Space CCTV | 15 |
| Initiative: Street Pastors..... | 16 |
| Initiative: Safe & Sound Epping Forest..... | 16 |
| Initiative: E-Mail Families | 17 |
| Initiative: Redeployable Cameras..... | 17 |
| Initiative: Restore Community Shops | 18 |
| Initiative: J9 Signposting project..... | 18 |
| Initiative: Anti Social Behaviour | 19 |
| Initiative: Community Engagements | 19 |
| Initiative: Night Time Economy..... | 20 |
| Initiative: Lock 'em Out..... | 20 |
| Initiative: HomeSafe..... | 21 |
| Initiative: Older Persons Crucial Crew..... | 21 |
| Initiative: Preventing bogus caller/rogue trader/repeat ASB victimisation | 22 |
| Initiative: West LPA Partnership Analyst | 22 |
| Initiative: Handyperson Scheme..... | 22 |
| Initiative: Design Out Crime | 23 |
| Strategic Priority 4..... | 23 |
| Local Priority Selection Matrix 2014-15..... | 24 |
| Epping Forest District Problem Solving Structure | 25 |
| Local Delivery Groups | 26 |

Vision

All people in Epping Forest District have the right to live their lives without fear of being a victim of crime or anti social behaviour due to their beliefs, ethnicity or life style.

Epping Forest District Community Safety Partnership will work together to achieve this vision and continue to make the district a safe place to live and work.



Introduction

Epping Forest District Community Safety Partnership (CSP) is a statutory body consisting of Epping Forest District Council, Essex County Council, Essex Police and Police & Crime Commissioner, Essex County Fire and Rescue Service, West Essex Primary Care Trust, Essex Probation and members of the Voluntary Action Epping Forest (VAEF). The partnership has a duty to work together to reduce crime, disorder and anti-social behaviour locally.

This partnership plan is a legal requirement and is refreshed annually by using findings from the strategic assessment. Our current plan has taken into account the findings of the comprehensive spending review and has adjusted partnership problem solving priorities and structures in line with those findings. The plan also takes into account the long term One Epping Forest Community Strategy 2010-31 and Essex County Council Safer Essex Partnership priorities.

Public Service is currently undergoing fundamental changes in working practices, coupled with reductions in staffing levels and budget allocations. It is now necessary that organisations provide more for less. This plan seeks to provide a rationalisation of responsibilities, but still deliver an effective strategy to reduce crime, disorder and anti-social behaviour in Epping Forest District.

PCC Funding

Awaiting full details from the Police and Crime Commissioners Office 2014-15

2013-2014

£21,800 – Core Funding

West Area Local Policing Area (LPA) Analyst £6922
Safe & Sound Project £5500
Prison me no Way £4000
Arson Kits £1140
J9 domestic Awareness Project £1250
Lock em out Campaign £2988

New Initiatives Funding

Older Peoples Safety Event £2800
Operation Homesafe £1485.00
Handy Person Scheme CCTV £1052.88

The 2010-31 One Epping Forest Community Strategy

The 'Safer' theme of this long term strategy sets out two key objectives.

Key Objective 1. – Deliver innovative programmes to tackle fear of crime and promote respect and enable all residents and stakeholders to play an active role in making their communities safer.

Key Objective 2. – Build better links with partners in London, Hertfordshire and across Essex to deliver more integrated community safety programmes.

The aspirations of both of these key objectives are incorporated in our partnership plan and action plan setting at a local level.

This 3 year partnership plan has to remain flexible to deal with emerging trends and the changing needs of the community. Public contact and reassurance is crucial in the delivery of any initiative. Public engagement and support for their local services will reduce negative perceptions and promote feelings of confidence.



Police and Crime Plan

Nick Alston CBE, is the Conservative Police and Crime Commissioner for Essex Police. He is the first person to hold the post and was elected on 15 November 2012.

The Police and Crime Plan sets out the Police and Crime Commissioner's priorities and reflects his wider community safety responsibilities.

The Commissioner has a duty to issue the Police and Crime Plan by the 31st March 2013 and he will hold the Chief Constable to account on the delivery of the areas of focus it sets out.

PCC Focus Areas

The areas of focus are:

- Ensuring local solutions meet local problems
- Reducing domestic abuse
- Supporting our victims of crime
- Reducing youth offending and all types of re-offending
- Tackling the consequences of alcohol and drug abuse and mental health issues
- Improving road safety
- Improving crime prevention
- Increasing efficiency in policing through collaborative working and innovation

Clinical Commissioning Groups

From April 2013 clinical commissioning groups (CCGs) will be required to be a member of the CSP, previously the National Health Service (NHS) was represented by the local Primary Care Trust (PCT) under the Health and Social Care Act 2012.

There are many areas which both CSPs and CCGs may have jointly or separately identified as priorities either through developing the joint health and wellbeing strategy or through the CSP. These could include

- Reducing alcohol and drug misuse
- Reducing domestic and sexual violence
- Offender health services (including mental health and learning disability services)
- Improving access to mental health services
- Reducing anti-social behaviour
- Reducing street and youth violence
- Strengthening child and vulnerable adult safeguarding services.

Public Health

From April 2013 the new Essex County Council Public Health function will take responsibility, under the transfer of Public Health from PCTs, for drug and alcohol services across Essex. The Drug and Alcohol Team (EDAAT) will be an integral part of this Public Health function and remains committed to developing and delivering activity which contributes to a single overarching outcome: *“The reduction in the harm caused by substance use in the County of Essex”*.

The intention will be to maintain a partnership approach to commissioning service provision from resources provided to the County Council through the Public Health Grant and it will remain a key responsibility to deliver the National Drug Strategy and the Government’s Alcohol Strategy. In addition the Public Health function will be responsible for delivering against the newly published Public Health Outcomes Framework amongst the wider range of outcome frameworks being developed both nationally and locally (Social Care, NHS, Criminal Justice and Children’s).

Strategic Priorities

A clear theme throughout the partnership plan should be towards delivering sustainable improvements in community safety. This will require the strategic priorities to be based around four overarching strategic priorities;-

- Ø Identifying those agencies and resources that are best placed to respond and deliver improvements to community safety.
- Ø Providing a balanced consideration between enforcement, prevention, risk reduction and reassurance.
- Ø Specifically identify how responses can better control offenders, improve guardianship, and improve the management of places.
- Ø Identify gaps in service provision and recommend the development of new and current services

1. Diverting young people away from crime and ASB

- Active consultation with young people over concerns, perceptions and their priorities
- Diversionary and educational schemes through Targeted Youth Support which increase participation and inclusion to those at risk of offending and high risk of victimisation
- Collaborative problem solving between the Partnership, schools, youth centres and other education and youth activity providers, with particular focus towards victimisation risk reduction, and alcohol and drug abuse awareness.

2. Reduce re-offending

- Coordinated Partnership activity towards those at highest risk of re-offending with particular emphasis on participation in Integrated Offender Management
- Identifying the drivers towards offending and seeking multi-agency means of reducing or removing them with particular emphasis on drugs and alcohol abuse.
- Make effective use of the criminal justice system when required.
- Ensure the effective management of perpetrators of domestic abuse.

3. Provide vulnerable victim care and reduce repeat victimisation

- Understanding repeat victimisation in Epping Forest
- Reducing the vulnerability of those repeatedly victimised
- Provide practical victim care engagement
- Raise awareness and understanding of domestic abuse and the advice and help available
- Ensure a robust network of partners responding to domestic abuse
- Monitor progress of action plans from Domestic Homicide Reviews

4. Town Centre and Neighbourhood Safety

- Coordinated multi-agency interventions and initiatives that deliver sustainable improvements in community safety, balancing between focused, evidence-based operational tactics and designing out crime and ASB through reducing the opportunities and temptations to offend and behave anti-socially
- Collaborative problem-solving between the Partnership with retailers, licensed premises, and local businesses

- Reassurance awareness that promotes the town centres
- Reducing crime to property, in particular dwellings and vehicles
- Collaborative problem solving between the Partnership and local communities to positively address local concerns
- Reassurance targeting to reduce the fear of crime and improve public confidence

Strategic Assessment (SA) 2014-15

Epping Forest District Community Safety Partnership has to produce an annual strategic assessment. The framework of the strategic assessment includes the following components:-

- Analysis of the levels and patterns of crime, disorder and substance misuse
- Changes in the levels and patterns of crime, disorder and substance misuse since the last strategic assessment
- Analysis of why these changes have occurred
- Assessment of the extent to which last years plan was implemented

The purpose of the strategic assessment is to provide knowledge and understanding of community safety problems that will inform and enable the partners to:-

- Understand the patterns of crime, disorder and substance misuse
- Set clear and robust priorities for the partnership
- Develop activity that is driven by reliable intelligence and meets the needs of the local community
- Deploy resources effectively and present value for money
- Undertake annual reviews and plan activity based on a clear understanding of the issues and priorities.

Community engagement is a key element in identifying local priorities. Consultation takes place during the year with established structures, such as, Essex Watch, residents associations, Neighbourhood Action Panels etc. Epping Forest District Council hosts an on-line public perception survey where results are recorded and used to identify direction for the strategic assessment. The partnership hold an annual 'Face the Public' meeting where all partners are represented and give the public the opportunity to question the activities and scrutinise the partnership plan.

The local priorities contained in the strategic assessment have been identified using a problem oriented approach and specific areas of concern have been identified using a priority selection process which takes into account the following factors:-

- Volume of offences
- Seriousness (based on cost of crime)
- Predicted direction of travel (based on Home Office projections)
- Performance (compared to other most similar partnership groups nationally)
- Public concern (local public perception surveys)
- Repeat victimisation (number of victims subject to 2 or more offences)

A summary of the strategic assessment can be found on Epping Forest District Council and Community Safety Partnership web sites at:-

www.eppingforestdc.gov.uk/

www.safercommunitieseppping.org.uk/index

Local Priorities 2014-15

The Community Safety Partnership concentrates on those offences causing the most harm to the district over the period of the strategic assessment. Those offences are identified through the application of a scanning technique using the Local Priority Selection Matrix. The matrix takes into account a number of different performance indicators including public perception when establishing where partnership activity should be concentrated. Once the offences are identified they form our local priorities strategy and become the areas partners concentrate on when addressing the overarching strategic priorities. Our local priorities for the coming year will be:-

- **Domestic Abuse**
- **Burglary dwelling**
- **Theft from motor vehicle**
- **Anti-social Behaviour**
- **Assault with serious injury**

Emerging Trends

- **Courier crime**

Sophisticated bogus official, mainly purporting to be a police officer obtaining bank card details targeting elderly victims.

See appendix A for the full matrix

Performance against our local priorities 2013-14

Key findings

Overall crime down 5% or 394 less offences since 2010

Burglary dwelling down 17%

Domestic Abuse down 5%

Theft from vehicle no change

Anti-social Behaviour down 6%

Epping Forest District Community Safety Partnership Headlines

Partnership visits to high risk domestic abuse victims has reduced chances of repeat victimisation by 30%

Partnership visits together with targeted predictive policing (Op Insight) reduced repeat victimisation of dwelling burglary by over 50%

58% of all burglaries occurred in the autumn/winter peak (however, this peak has reduced by 15% since last year)

Lock 'em Out home security booklets produced & launched March 2013

HomeSafe, home safety campaign launched October 2013 in partnership with the districts estate agents and EFDC Housing Services giving advice to new residents and house buyers in the district

Consistent performance reducing anti-social behaviour - incidents recorded are continuing to fall for the fourth year running

Commitment to Integrated Offender Management

J9 Domestic Abuse awareness training launched

Youth engagement and education provided through, Crucial Crew & Reality Roadshow

Upgraded public space CCTV operating successfully in Debden Broadway

Regular performance management and evaluation provided by partnership analyst

| Initiative: Crucial Crew | | | | | | Strategic Priority 1 |
|---|--|--|-----------------------|--|--|-----------------------------|
| Objective | Action(s) | Resources | Target date | Lead | Measurement | Date complete |
| Provide practical learning life-skills scenarios for all Year 6 pupils in EFD and also offered to Brentwood schools | Identify and secure suitable venue Form Local Working Group Identify and secure scenario providers Obtain sufficient support staff to run event | £1,000 – High Sheriff’s Award £10,000 – EFDC £6500 – schools transport | June 2014 | Caroline Wiggins (Community Safety) Gill Wallis (Community Development) | Number of schools participating Positive feedback from students and teachers | June 2014 |
| Initiative: Reality Roadshow | | | | | | Strategic Priority 1 |
| Objective | Action(s) | Resources | Target date | Lead | Measurement | Date complete |
| Provide practical learning life-skills scenarios for Year 9 secondary school pupils in EFD | Identify and secure suitable venue Form Local Working Group Identify and secure scenario providers Obtain sufficient support staff to run event | £2,500 - EFDC | Sept 2013 – July 2014 | Caroline Wiggins (Community Safety) Gill Wallis (Community Development) | Number of schools participating St John’s Davenant Roding Valley West Hatch King Harold Debden Positive feedback from students and teachers | Annual ongoing |

| Initiative: Integrated Offender Management (IOM) | | | | | | Strategic Priority 2 |
|---|---|---|--------------------|---|--|-----------------------------|
| Objective | Action(s) | Resources | Target date | Lead | Measurement | Date complete |
| Work in partnership to bring together the management of repeat offenders into a more coherent structure to reduce crime & re-offending and improve public confidence in the criminal justice system | Support framework of Integrated Offender Management | £4750 £1000 allocated 2013-14 | | Neeve Bishop – Manager, Essex Probation | Probation to provide | Ongoing |
| Reduce re-offending by those offenders identified as causing most harm to people and communities | Regularly attend multi-agency problem solving meetings Disseminate relevant intelligence to EFDC service areas, Housing ASB Investigators, ENOs | Existing resources and staff Existing Resources | Ongoing | Essex Probation Paul Gardener | Re-offending rates Number of pieces of intelligence shared Arrests Number of cases referred Reduced re-offending rates | |
| Initiative: Sanctuary Scheme | | | | | | Strategic Priority 3 |
| Objective | Action(s) | Resources | Target date | Lead | Measurement | Date complete |
| Support and develop a tenure neutral scheme to protect high risk victims of domestic violence (Sanctuary) | Set up small working party of key stakeholders (EFDC, ECFRS, Essex Police & Safer Places) to review cases and best practice Identify best practice from other areas and implement where possible | Existing Staff £2000 p/a jointly from EFDC Housing and Safer Communities | Ongoing | Russell Wallace EFDC Housing Needs Paul Gardener EFDC Community Safety Officer | Number of schemes implemented Cost benefit analysis Reduction in repeat victimisation | Ongoing |

| Initiative: Partnership Visits | | | | | | Strategic Priority 3 |
|---|---|---|--------------------|---------------|--|-------------------------------|
| Objective | Action(s) | Resources | Target date | Lead | Measurement | Date complete |
| Provide support and reduce repeat victimisation to those at greatest risk of being victim of priority crime | Carry out joint Police / EFDC crime prevention surveys in the areas identified by the control strategy These currently relate to:- Burglary dwelling & artifice with identified risk factors High risk domestic abuse Serious ASB Other incidents involving risk to public safety | Essex Police Crime Reduction Officer EFDC Community Safety Officer £1,000 – EFDC Arson Kits office of the PCC £1140 | | Paul Gardener | Response to satisfaction surveys Reduced repeat victimisation (when compared with district's average) | Ongoing |
| Initiative: Public Space CCTV | | | | | | Strategic Priority 4 |
| Objective | Action(s) | Resources | Target date | Lead | Measurement | Date complete |
| Develop and manage public space CCTV schemes in areas identified through analysis as hot spots | NIM compliant CPA from Analyst Identify hot-spots | Adrian Petty CCTV Ops Officer EFDC CCTV Support Officer EFDC | | Adrian Petty | Systems functioning to required standard. Crime reduction Number of downloads aiding investigation and detection | Ongoing management of systems |

| Initiative: Street Pastors | | | | | | Strategic Priority 3 & 4 |
|--|---|---|--------------------|---------------------|---|-------------------------------------|
| Objective | Action(s) | Resources | Target date | Lead | Measurement | Date complete |
| Identify vulnerable people, particularly the young, in the night time economy crime and disorder hotspots and offer them support and assistance where possible to keep free from harm. | The project has been very successful to date. Now looking to recruit more volunteers and secure further funding. | | Ongoing 2013-14 | Caroline Wiggins | Reduction in levels of ASB Reduction in victims of violent crime | Ongoing |
| Initiative: Safe & Sound Epping Forest | | | | | | Strategic Priority 3 |
| Objective | Action(s) | Resources | Target date | Lead | Measurement | Date complete |
| Provide a service which allows free or at cost upgrades of home security to reduce victimisation and repeat offending | Identify funding Identify, recruit and train installer Provide office space and relevant ICT systems Identify Management structure | EFDC - £5,500 PCC Community Grant - £5,500 | Ongoing | Jacqui Foile - VAEF | Number of surveys carried out No offending after security fitted | Ongoing |

| Initiative: E-Mail Families | | | | | | Strategic Priority 4 |
|--|--|---|--------------------|--|---|-----------------------------|
| Objective | Action(s) | Resources | Target date | Lead | Measurement | Date complete |
| E-mail families crime reduction through improved communication | Identify and organise social/economic groups with identified commonality into e-mail families Develop and manage a county wide Community Safety e-mail family to exchange ideas and best practice | Existing Resources Existing Resources | | Tony Ellis Essex Police Crime Reduction Officer Paul Gardener EFDC Community Safety Officer | Number of groups formed Amount of information disseminated Amount of information disseminated | |
| Initiative: Redeployable Cameras | | | | | | Strategic Priority 4 |
| Objective | Action(s) | Resources | Target date | Lead | Measurement | Date complete |
| Use the cameras for crime, disorder and environmental crime hot spot areas. Cameras to be overt and the appropriate signage displayed. | Ensure 'fit for purpose' cameras are maintained and accessible for deployment when required | Essex Police loaned 4 cameras EFDC £1600 SIM cards | April 2014 | Adrian Petty | Number of occasions cameras deployed Number of police requested downloads, | |

| Initiative: Restore Community Shops | | | | | | Strategic Priority 3 |
|---|------------------|------------------|--------------------|--|---|-----------------------------|
| Objective | Action(s) | Resources | Target date | Lead | Measurement | Date complete |
| Support of a community centre in Debden Broadway providing a focal point for the community and a location for partners to signpost and deliver their services | | | | Judy Lovell – Restore Community Church | Number of people engaging in consultations Number of attendees at launch Number of people who access services Police ASB data UK online number of people signing up Number of young people attending youth bus | Ongoing |

Page 58

| Initiative: J9 Signposting project | | | | | | Strategic Priority 3 |
|--|--|--|-------------------------|------------------|---|-----------------------------|
| Objective | Action(s) | Resources | Target date | Lead | Measurement | Date complete |
| To increase number of business and community groups that can signpost DV victims | Provide free DV awareness training and DV packs and leaflets | £1000 – EFDC £1250 PCC Community Grant | April 2013 – March 2014 | Caroline Wiggins | Number of business community groups that sign up to J9 Number of training courses provided Evaluation Feedback from courses | March 2014 |

| Initiative: Anti Social Behaviour | | | | | | Strategic Priority 1, 3 & 4 |
|---|--|----------------------------|--------------------|-------------------|---|--|
| Objective | Action(s) | Resources | Target date | Lead | Measurement | Date complete |
| Identify repeat and vulnerable victims of anti social behaviour | Develop Risk Assessment Matrix Introduce a set of procedures which manage ASB complaints against identified risk | Existing staff and systems | April 2014 | Paul Gardener | Reduction in volume calls for each repeat or vulnerable victim | |
| Maintain and deliver a partnership approach to ASB complaints | Continue with partnership working around ASB through ASB Coordinating Group | | March 2014 | ASB Investigators | Cases successfully resolved from meetings | |
| Increase public awareness of services provided to deal with ASB | Positive press releases informing residents of success stories around anti social behaviour Multi-agency public surgeries with EFDC investigators and NPT PCSOs | Community Safety Team | Ongoing | ASB Investigators | Number of press releases Feedback from press releases Number of surgeries held and complaints dealt with at a local level | Ongoing |
| Initiative: Community Engagements | | | | | | Strategic Priority 4 |
| Objective | Action(s) | Resources | Target date | Lead | Measurement | Date complete |
| Raise awareness of Safer Communities initiatives and actions | Visit local events and provide information on activities and outcomes of the Safer Communities Team | Community Safety Team | Ongoing | | Number of events attended | Ongoing |

| Initiative: Night Time Economy | | | | | | Strategic Priority 4 |
|---|--|--|--------------------|--------------|---|-----------------------------|
| Objective | Action(s) | Resources | Target date | Lead | Measurement | Date complete |
| Reduce level of crime and disorder related to local night clubs | Explore possibility of newly installed CCTV at hotspots being able to be transmitted to Essex Police Force Control Room and portable workstations of officers deployed to area | Community Safety Team EFDC Essex Police | June 2013 | Essex Police | Reduction in night club related ASB issues, more effective detection of offences when they occur. | |

| Initiative: Lock 'em Out | | | | | | Strategic Priority 3 |
|---|--|------------------|-------------------------------|---|--|-----------------------------|
| Objective | Action(s) | Resources | Target date | Lead | Measurement | Date complete |
| Continue to promote Lock 'em Out initiative of home security advice | <p>Liaise with & obtain agreement from Planning over technical content</p> <p>Link future crime prevention campaigns to Lock 'em Out' branding</p> <p>Identify relevant emerging or seasonal trends and develop messages suitable to address</p> | Existing staff | <p>Ongoing</p> <p>Ongoing</p> | Paul Gardener Community Safety Officer | Booklets and leaflets produced and distributed on time | Ongoing |

| Initiative: HomeSafe | | | | | | Strategic Priority 4 |
|---|---|--------------------|----------------------|---|--|-----------------------------|
| Objective | Action(s) | Resources | Target date | Lead | Measurement | Date complete |
| Continue to engage with local estate agents to provide home safety and security literature and advice to all persons moving into the district during 2014 | Engage Estate Agents Deliver packs to estate agents participating Monitor success and re-supply | PCC funding £1,485 | Ongoing through 2014 | Paul Gardener EFDC Community Safety Officer | Number of packs produced and distributed Feedback from recipients | Ongoing 2014 |
| Extend the scheme to EFDC tenants via Housing Options | Packs supplied to Housing Options | | January 2014 | | | |

Page 61

| Initiative: Older Persons Crucial Crew | | | | | | Strategic Priority 3 |
|--|---|---|--------------------|--|--|-----------------------------|
| Objective | Action(s) | Resources | Target date | Lead | Measurement | Date complete |
| Devise and implement a home safety and awareness event targeting older people, particularly to respond to emerging offences of Bogus callers and courier crime | Obtain funding to supply event Identify stakeholders able to assist with the event Secure a suitable venue Identify and transport target group | PCC funding £2,800 EFDC £500 Partnership & Voluntary Sector VAEF | | Caroline Wiggins Community Safety Manager EFDC | Number of persons attending Reduction in offences being addressed | March 2014 |

| Initiative: Preventing bogus caller/rogue trader/repeat ASB victimisation | | | | | | Strategic Priority 3 |
|---|--|--|--------------------------|---|---|--------------------------------------|
| Objective | Action(s) | Resources | Target date | Lead | Measurement | Date complete |
| Purchase 5 x portable CCTV systems suitable for simple installation in potential or repeat victims homes on a loan basis with the option to purchase | Identify suitable equipment Obtain funding from PCC Manage installation and monitoring | PCC £1052.88 EFDC 263.22 | | | Reduction of crime and ASB Preventing repeat victimisation Reducing fear of crime | |
| Initiative: West LPA Partnership Analyst | | | | | | Strategic Priority 1, 2, 3, 4 |
| Objective | Action(s) | Resources | Target date | Lead | Measurement | Date complete |
| Manage and support West LPA Analyst on behalf of Essex Police, Brentwood, Throck and Harlow Community Safety Partnerships | | PCC funding £6922.00 EFDC host the role on behalf of West LPA | Ongoing until March 2015 | Paul Gardener Community Safety Officer | Production of Strategic Assessment and performance management reports | Ongoing |
| Initiative: Handyperson Scheme | | | | | | Strategic Priority 3 |
| Objective | Action(s) | Resources | Target date | Lead | Measurement | Date complete |
| Providing support to the councils Handyperson scheme by supplying security materials which can be fitted when a visit for minor repairs or maintenance is carried out | Identify and supply suitable equipment | £1000 EFDC | Ongoing 2014 | Caroline Wiggins EFDC Community safety Team | Number of visits where security devices are fitted | Ongoing 2014 |

| Initiative: Design Out Crime | | | | | | Strategic Priority 4 |
|---|--|---------------------------|--------------------|---|---------------------|-----------------------------|
| Objective | Action(s) | Resources | Target date | Lead | Measurement | Date complete |
| Work with EFDC Service areas and Essex Police Crime Prevention and Architectural Liaison Officers to identify opportunities to design out crime | Maintain regular networking between service areas Identify and offer advice | Within existing resources | Ongoing 2014 | Paul Gardener Community Safety Officer | Number of referrals | Ongoing 2014 |


| Initiative: Electric Blanket Testing | | | | | | Strategic Priority 4 |
|--|--------------------------------------|------------------|--------------------|-------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Objective | Action(s) | Resources | Target date | Lead | Measurement | Date complete |
| Work with ECC Trading Standards to carry out electric blanket safety testing in respect of elderly residents within the district | Advertise event Carry out testing | £399.99 EFDC | April 2014 | | Number of tests carried out | April 2014 |

Local Priority Selection Matrix 2014-15

| Crime Type | Number | Volume | Seriousness | Predicted Direction of Travel | Performance | Public Concern | Repeat Victimization | Total Score | Rank |
|--|--------|--------|-------------|-------------------------------|-------------|----------------|----------------------|-------------|----------|
| Anti-social Behaviour (LA) | | | | | | | | 0 | 9 |
| Anti-social Behaviour (Police) | 3639 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 15 | 3 |
| Assault serious and other | 538 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 3 | | 3 | 15 | 2 |
| Assault without injury | 440 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | | 2 | 13 | 5 |
| Burglary Dwelling (incl attempts) | 751 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 15 | 2 |
| Burglary Other (incl attempts) | 417 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 14 | 4 |
| Criminal Damage | 838 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 13 | 5 |
| Domestic Abuse | 1143 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 21 | 1 |
| Drug Offences | 263 | 2 | | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 9 | 8 |
| Other Theft | 973 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 4 | | 3 | 13 | 5 |
| Public Order Offences | 207 | 2 | | 2 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 13 | 5 |
| Racially/ religiously aggravated crime | 64 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 11 | 6 |
| Robbery | 92 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 13 | 5 |
| Sexual Offences | 93 | 1 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 14 | 4 |
| Shoplifting | 321 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | | 4 | 10 | 7 |
| TRMIV | 1072 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 16 | 2 |
| TMIV | 358 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 14 | 4 |
| Vehicle Interference | 120 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 4 | | 1 | 13 | 5 |

| <u>Volume</u> | <u>Predicted DOT (iQuanta Projections)</u> | <u>Performance (iQuanta Comparison)</u> | <u>Repeat Victimization (%)</u> | <u>Seriousness</u> |
|---|---|---|---|--|
| 4= 1000+ offences 3= 500-999 offences 2= 101-499 offences 1= 1-100 offences 0= 0 offences | 4= Long term upwards trend, recent up 3= long term down, recent up 2= long term up, recent stable 1= long term up, recent down 0= Long term down, recent down | 4= Top 3= Above Upper Bound 2= Average 1= Below Lower Bound 0= Bottom | 4= 30%+ 3= 20-30% 2= 10-20% 1= 01-10% 0= 0% | 4= £1,000,000+ 3= £100,000 - £999,999 2= £50,000 - £99,999 1= £1,000 - £49,999 0= Less than £1,000 |

Epping Forest District Problem Solving Structure



VOLt structure – Victim – Offender – Location - time

Local Delivery Groups

The Epping Forest Community Safety Partnership has established multi-agency working groups and initiatives that seek to address the key priorities.

- **Drug & Alcohol Reference Group (DARG)**

Address drug and alcohol issues which cause offending or increase the potential of victimisation.

- **Domestic Abuse**

Provide support to victims of domestic abuse through participation in the Multi-Agency Risk Assessment Conference (MARAC) and Domestic Violence Group. For the most serious cases provide support to victims to remain within the family home by introduction of sanctuary schemes when appropriate.

- **Task and Finish Groups**

A group of councillors and co-opted members tasked to examine a problem and produce recommendations. The group disbands once it reports.

- **Anti-Social Behaviour Coordinating Group and Hate Crime**

Provide a swift multi-agency response to person specific complaints of anti-social behaviour and hate crime.

- **Command Planning/Tasking Meeting**

Multi-agency responds to Neighbourhood Action Panel concerns, develops pre-planned responses, deals with locality issues

- **Cross Border Liaison**

Work in partnership with the East London & West Essex Serious Acquisitive Crime Group, North East London Cross Border PPO Group and Redbridge Safer Neighbourhoods Team to provide a co-ordinated cross border response to those perpetrators who offend in several geographic districts.

- **Integrated Offender Management (IOM)**

Currently work in progress being developed by Essex Police and Essex Probation, structures not yet finalised.

- **Special Action Group**

Used when serious crime and disorder issues need to be addressed to safeguard community cohesion and confidence. This is a multi-agency target focused group.